

Wilmington, DE 19805

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 10/500,263 06/18/2004 Easley Wallace JR. PR0032USPCT 9838 12/20/2005 EXAMINER E.I. Du Pont De Nemours and Company HESS, BRUCE H Legal Patents ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 4417 Lancaster Pike

1774

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1/R
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Office Action Summary	10/500,263	WALLACE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bruce H. Hess	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status	()	1 45	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10-20.	27-05 (Amer	idment)	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the ments is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims and 11-25			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alaction requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·		بالمقيا
	Adminor. Note the attache		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	es have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Burea	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1774

Claims 1, 6, 11-13, 15, 16 and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of the patents to Hashimoto et al.(USP 4,783,375) or Ohno et al. (USP 4,806,422).

These patents teach image transfer elements comprising a support and a colorant layer which comprises a polymeric binder, a colorant, a surfactant and a metal salt (zinc acetate in Hashimoto et al. and sodium acetate in Ohno et al.). The experimental modification of this prior art in order to ascertain optimum operating conditions (e.g., determine compositional proportions) fails to render applicants' claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results.

Claims 1-7 and 11-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of the patents to Hashimoto et al. or Ohno et al. in view of the patent to Blanchet-Fincher (USP 6,146,792).

The primary references apply as per the preceding paragraph. The secondary reference teaches the advantages of employing light-to-heat conversion layers and ejection layers in image transfer elements. Use of these conventional layers for their concomitant function in the image transfer element of the primary reference would have been an obvious expedient to one of ordinary skill in this art in the absence of unexpected results.

The pertinent portions of these references are: Hashimoto et al. (column 6, lines 36-38; column 8, lines 63-66; column 9, lines 3, 8 and 14; column 10 lines 1-3 and column 11, lines 1-3); Ohno et al. (column 6, lines 31 and 32 and column 9, lines 8 and 9); and Blanchet-Fincher et al. (column 6, line 9 et seq. and column 10, line 32 et seq.)

Application/Control Number: 10/500,263 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300